

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/474,127	12/29/1999	HIDEAKI OKADA	0666.023000E	1901
759	90 04/02/2003			
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 200053934			DEPUMPO, DANIEL G	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 200033934		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/474,127

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Examiner

Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit **3611** 

Okada et al.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 25, 2	2000			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio			
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) X Claim(s) 7 and 13	is/are rejected.			
7)  Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	is: all approved bl disapproved by the Examine			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list o				
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	· · ·			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision 15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment(s)	priority arider do didici 33 120 aridio, 1211			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intorview Summary (PTC-413) Paper No(a)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(3. and 7	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/474,127

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. Regarding the IDSs filed March 20, 2000 (Paper No. 2) and March 16, 2001 (Paper No. 7), copies of most the references listed thereon are located in the various parent applications. It is noted that copies of a few of these references have been provided in the instant application. The parent applications are currently unavailable to the examiner. Consequently, the only citations that have been initialed correspond to the copies that have been provided in the instant application. The examiner will consider the rest of the references when they become available.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 3. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hauser.

Hauser discloses an apparatus having the structure as claimed. The apparatus includes a housing 11, an HST 10, axles 18, bevel and pinion gears (i.e. near element 17), and a ring gear 53.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,127

Art Unit: 3611

4. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being <u>clearly anticipated</u> by

Nemoto '820.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See

MPEP § 201.15.

5. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent

the claimed subject matter.

In view of the decision in Patent Interference No. 104,311, it is determined that applicant

did not invent the claimed subject matter such as the gearing arrangement.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

March 31, 2003